#### NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND

**BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA** 

**JUNE 30, 2013** 

Under provisions of state law, this report is a public document. A copy of the report has been submitted to the entity and other appropriate public officials. The report is available for public inspection at the Baton Rouge office of the Legislative Auditor and, where appropriate, at the office of the parish clerk of court.

Release Date FEB 1 9 2014



#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

ındepende	nt auditor's report	-
Required s	supplementary information:	
Ma	anagement's discussion and analysis	1 - 7
Basic fina	ncial statements:	
Statement		
	overnment-wide financial statements:	•
1 .	Statement of net position	9
2	Statement of activities	10
	and financial statements:	
3	Balance sheet - governmental funds	11 – 12
4	Statement of revenues, expenditures, and changes in fund	
	balances – governmental funds	13 – 14
5	Statement of fiduciary net assets	15
	Notes to basic financial statements	16-31
Required	supplementary information:	
<b>Exhibits</b>		
1	Budgetary comparison schedule – general fund, indigent transcript fund, and drug treatment fund	33 – 34
2	Budgetary comparison schedule – commissioners' fund	35
	Notes to required supplementary information on budgetary accounting and control	36 – 37
3	Other post employment benefits plan retiree health and dental insurance programs – schedules of funding progress and employer contributions	38
and on c	ent auditor's report on internal control over financial reporting ompliance and other matters based on an audit of financial ats performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards	39 – 40
	of findings and responses	41
Schedule	or ringings and reshouses	41
Managen	nent's corrective action plan	42

43

Schedule of corrective action taken on prior year findings

Michael A. Tham, CPA Robert L. Starney, CPA Susan S. Tham, CPA Kimberty G. Sanders, CPA, MBA



Member of the Private Companies Practice Section of the American Institute of CPAs

#### INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Honorable Judges of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court

#### Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court - Judicial Expense Fund, a component unit of the City of Baton Rouge, Parish of East Baton Rouge, Louisiana, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Judicial Expense Fund's basic financial statements as listed in the table of contents.

#### Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

#### **Auditor's Responsibility**

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in Government Auditing Standards, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the financial statements.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

#### **Opinion**

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the respective financial position of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court - Judicial Expense Fund as of June 30, 2013, and the respective changes in financial position thereof for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

#### **Other Matters**

#### Required Supplementary Information

Accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America require that the management's discussion and analysis and budgetary comparison information on pages 1 through 7 and 33 through 35, respectively and the Schedules of Funding Progress and Employer Contributions of the fund's postemployment benefit plan on page 38 be presented to supplement the basic financial statements. Such information, although not a part of the basic financial statements, is required by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board, who considers it to be an essential part of financial reporting for placing the basic financial statements in an appropriate operational, economic, or historic context. We have applied certain limited procedures to the required supplementary information in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the Untied States of America, which consisted of inquiries of management about the methods of preparing the information and comparing the information for consistency with management's responses to our inquiries, the basic financial statements, and other knowledge we obtained during our audit of the basic financial statements. We do not express an opinion or provide any assurance on the information because the limited procedures do not provide us with sufficient evidence to express an opinion or provide any assurance.

#### Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

Theopegno Dlo ace

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated November 8, 2013 on our consideration of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court - Judicial Expense Fund's internal control over financial reporting; our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts and grant agreements; and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering Nineteenth Judicial District Court – Judicial Expense Fund's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

November 8, 2013

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION



#### NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

EAST BATON ROUGE PARISH BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA

ANN B. McCrory, J. D. JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATOR

SUITE 3602, 300 NORTH BLVD.

BATON ROUGE, LA 70801

TELEPHONE: (225) 389-4744

FAX: (225) 389-4774

amccrory@brgov.com

#### **MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS**

Our discussion and analysis of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court – Judicial Expense Fund (the JEF) provides an overview of the JEF's activities for the year ended June 30, 2013. Please read it in conjunction with the JEF's financial statements that begin on page 8.

#### FINANCIAL HIGHLIGHTS

- The JEF experienced a decrease in total net assets of approximately \$589,000 or 22% percent.
- During the year, expenses exceeded revenue generated for governmental programs by \$589,000. Last year expenses exceeded revenues by \$931,000.
- Total cost of all the JEF's programs increased by \$1,003,000, and total revenues increased by \$1,345,000.
- The general fund reported a surplus this year of approximately \$229,000. This is a decrease from last year's surplus which was \$327,000.

#### **USING THIS ANNUAL REPORT**

This annual report consists of a series of financial statements. The Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities (on pages 9 - 10) provide information about the activities of the JEF as a whole and present a longer-term view of the JEF's finances. Fund financial statements (on pages 11 - 15) tell how governmental activities were financed in the short term as well as what remains for future spending. Fund financial statements also report the JEF's operations in more detail than the government-wide statements by providing information about both of the JEF governmental funds.

#### Reporting the JEF as a Whole

Our analysis of the JEF as a whole begins on page 9. We believe the key question regarding JEF finances to be, "Is the JEF as a whole better or worse as a result of the year's activities?" The Statement of Net Positions and the Statement of Activities report information about the JEF as a whole, and about its activities, in a way that helps answer this question. These statements include all assets and liabilities using the accrual basis of accounting, which is similar to the accounting used by most private-sector companies. All of the current year's revenues and expenses are taken into account regardless of when cash is received or paid.

These two statements report the JEF's net assets and changes in them. You can think of the JEF's net assets—the difference between assets and liabilities—as one way to measure the JEF's financial health, or financial position. Over time, increases or decreases in the JEF's net assets are one indicator of whether its financial health is improving or deteriorating. You will need to consider other nonfinancial factors, however, such as number of cases handled by District Court as well as the number of judgeships approved by the State Legislature and the State's economic condition to better assess the overall health of the JEF.

Currently, the JEF has only governmental activities that provide for personnel, equipment, supplies and other costs related to the proper administration of District Court. Primarily, court costs and fines finance these activities.

#### Reporting the JEF's Funds

Our analysis of the JEF's funds begins on page 11. The fund financial statements provide detailed information about the JEF's funds, not the JEF as a whole. In addition to accounting for the fees for court costs, fines; appropriations from East Baton Rouge City - Parish, East Baton Rouge Clerk of Court and the Louisiana Supreme Court; and other revenues that finance activities of the District Court, the JEF also accounts for the appropriation received from the Louisiana Supreme Court to administer the Commissioners' offices. Fees collected for the preparation of transcripts for indigent defenders are maintained in a separate special revenue fund in accordance with LA R. S. 13:965. The General Fund, the Commissioners' Fund, and the Indigent Transcript Fund are governmental funds that focus on how money flows into and out of these funds and the balances left at year-end that are available for spending. These funds are reported using an accounting method called modified accrual basis of accounting, which measures only cash and other financial assets that can readily be converted to cash. The governmental fund statements provide a detailed short-term view of the JEF's operations and the services it provides. Governmental fund information helps you determine the amount of financial resources available to be spent in the near future to finance the JEF's programs. We describe the relationship (or differences) between governmental activities (reported in the Statement of Net Position and the Statement of Activities) and governmental funds in a reconciliation following the fund financial statements.

#### THE JEF AS A WHOLE

The JEF's net position deficit increased by approximately \$589,000 from (\$2,632,000) to (\$3,221,000), due to an increase in the JEF's net other post employment benefit obligation.

Our analysis below focuses on the net position (Table 1) and changes in net position (Table 2) of the JEF's governmental activities.

Table 1
Net Assets

			Increase (D	ecrease)
	2013	2012	Amount	Percent
Current and other assets	\$ 3,156,868	\$ 2,803,635	\$ 353,233	13 %
Capital assets	62,086	59,679	2,407	4
Total assets	3,218,954	2,863,314	355,640	12
Current liabilities	337,310	316,482	20,828	7
Long term liabilities	6,102,794	5,178,660	924,134	18
Total liabilities	6,440,104	5,495,142	944,962	17
Net assets:				
Invested in capital assets	62,086	59,679	2,407	4
Restricted by external legal constraints	782,273	701,979	80,294	11
Unrestricted	(4,065,509)	(3,393,486)	(672,023)	20
Total net position deficit	\$(3,221,150)	\$(2,631,828)	\$ (589,322)	22 %

Unrestricted net positions – the part of net assets that can be used to finance day-to-day operations without constraints established by other legal restrictions, decreased by \$672,000 resulting in a deficit of \$4,066,000 at the end of this year.

The JEF's total revenues increased by eighteen percent or \$1,345,000, and the total cost of all programs and services increased by \$1,003,000.

#### **Governmental Activities**

To aid in the understanding of the Statement of Activities some additional explanation is provided. Of particular interest is its format that is significantly different than that of the typical Statement of Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Fund Balance. You will notice that expenses are listed in the first column with revenues from that particular program reported to the right. The result is a Net Revenue/(Expense). The reason for this kind of format is to highlight the relative financial burden of each of the governmental functions. It also identifies how much each function draws from the general revenues or if it is self-financing through fees and grants. Fees in the form of court costs and fines represent the majority of the revenues reported for the District Court function.

Table 2 Changes in Net Assets

			Increase (Decrease)	
	2013	2012	Amount	Percent
Revenues				
Fees and fines	\$ 2,399,422	\$2,422,097	\$ (22,675)	(1) %
Intergovernmental	6,372,064	5,028,828	1,343,236	27
Charges for services	193,159	160,010	33,149	21
Interest	6,283	7,016	(733)	(10)
Other	484	8,692	(8,208)	(94)
Total revenues	8,971,412	7,626,643	1,344,769	18
Program expenses				
District Court	9,151,189	8,142,339	1,008,850	12
Commissioners'	409,545	415,519	(5,974)	0
Total expenses	9,560,734	8,557,858	1,002,876	12
Increase (decrease) in net po	ositior <u>\$ (589,322)</u>	\$ (931,215)	<b>\$ 341,893</b>	3,501 %

#### THE JEF'S FUNDS

As the JEF completed the year, its governmental funds (as presented in the balance sheet on page 11) reported a combined fund balance of \$2,757,000, which is \$309,000 more than last year's total of \$2,448,000.

The following schedule presents a summary of general fund revenues and expenditures for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2013, and the amount and percentage of increases and decreases in relation to the prior year.

Table 3
General Fund Revenues and Expenditures

	2013		2012		
	Amount	Percent of Total	Amount	Percent of Total	
Revenues					
Fees and fines	\$2,200,447	26 %	\$2,221,032	32 %	
Intergovernmental	5,941,961	72	4,618,573	66	
Charges for services	188,351	2	146,968	2	
Interest	6,283	-	7,016	-	
Other	484		8,692	-	
Total revenues	8,337,526	100	7,002,281	100	
Expenditures					
District Court	8,229,263	99	6,775,024	96	
Capital outlay	18,842		38,647	1	
Total expenditures	8,248,105	99	6,813,671	97	
Excess of revenues over					
expenditures before transfers	89,421	1	188,610	3	
Transfers	139,478	2	138,873	2	
Excess of revenues over		<del></del>	<u></u>		
expenditures after transfers	\$ 228,899	3 %	\$ 327,483	5 %	

#### **GENERAL FUND BUDGETARY HIGHLIGHTS**

Over the course of the year, the General Fund budget was revised one time. The budget was amended to reflect the final budgetary fund balance for July 1, 2013, and to more accurately report projected revenues and operating expenditures. Each year the Court projects revenues based on the previous year's collections. This year there was a variance involving bond forfeitures, which exceeded projections by approximately \$55,000 and court defrayment costs which ended under budget projections by \$74,000. The budget was revised to also more accurately reflect the intergovernmental funding to the JEF provided by the City of Baton Rouge/Parish of East Baton Rouge. Overall, the court's expenses were \$252,000 less than budgeted; which is related to various operational cost cutting initiatives and increases in intergovernmental reimbursements.

Capital equipment purchases decreased to \$19,000 in 2013 compared to \$39,000 for 2012.

#### CAPITAL ASSET AND DEBT ADMINISTRATION

#### **Capital Assets**

At the end of June 30, 2013, the JEF had \$359,000 invested in capital assets including computer equipment and office renovations (See Table 4 below). This represents a net increase of \$19,000 from last year. Capital additions totaled \$19,000 for the fiscal period.

Table 4
Capital Assets at Year-end

	2013	2012
Computer equipment, including software	\$ 240,528	\$ 225,200
Other office equipment	86,699	83,185
Furniture and fixtures	27,983	27,983
Office renovations	3,964	3,964
Totals	\$ 359,174	\$ 340,332

#### Debt

At year-end, the JEF had approximately \$5,452,000 in net other post retirement benefit obligations, which represents future liabilities for employees to continue to participate in the health, dental and life insurance programs upon and during retirement. This represents a 21% increase from the prior year. The JEF also had an estimated \$716,000 for accrued compensated absences that represents the future liability for vacation earned but not used by District Court employees. This is a decrease of one percent from last year (See Table 5 below).

Table 5
Outstanding Debt at Year-end

	2013	2012
Net other post retirement obligations	5,451,669	4,519,391
Accrued compensated absences	716,309	720,828
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u>\$6,167,978</u>	\$ 5,240,219

#### ECONOMIC FACTORS AND NEXT YEAR'S BUDGETS AND RATES

The City-Parish of East Baton Rouge funds most of the District Court operations. The annual budget adopted by the City-Parish for 2014 largely remained unchanged from its prior year appropriation. This budget includes funding for salaries, retirement contributions, medical, dental, post employment benefits and some basic office expenses. The Court grants all eligible employees a three percent mark raise each year. The Court anticipates revenue collection to be flat in the upcoming fiscal period, and may have to liquidate some of its cash reserves to meet operating expenditures, in particularly the 7.6% increase in its required contribution to the LASERS extrement system, which currently costs 69% more than the Clerk's System. The Court consistently attempts to reduce operating expenses without populating the overall operations of the Court.

#### CONTACTING THE FUND'S FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

This financial report is designed to provide our citizens, taxpayers, customers, and creditors with a general overview of the JEF's finances and to show the JEF's augmentability for the money it receives. If you have questions about this report or need additional financial information, contact the Judicial Administrator's Office at 300 North Blvd., Suite 3606, Baton Rouge, Louisiana.

Deuk Ransome

Derek Ransome Director of Finance **BASIC FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** 

# NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND STATEMENT OF NET POSITION

June 30, 2013

	Governmental Activities
ASSETS	
Current assets:	
Cash, including time deposits	\$ 2,734,656
Accounts receivable (net)	64,457
Receivable from other governments	326,484
Prepaid expenses	31,271
Total current assets	3,156,868
Noncurrent assets:	
Capital assets, net of depreciation	62,086
Total assets	<u>3,218,954</u>
DEFERRED OUTFLOWS OF RESOURCES	<u>\$</u>
LIABILITIES	
Current liabilities:	
Accounts payable	259,774
Accounts payable from restricted assets	12,352
Accrued compensated absences	65,184
Total current liabilities	337,310
Noncurrent liabilities:	•
Accrued compensated absences	651,125
Other post employment benefit obligation	5,451,669
Total liabilities	6,440,104
DEFERRED INFLOWS OF RESOURCES	\$ -
	<u> </u>
NET POSITION	
Net investment in capital assets	62,086
Restricted by external legal constraints	782,273
Unrestricted deficit	(4,065,509)
Total net position deficit	\$ (3,221,150)

# NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

Year ended June 30, 2013

	Expenses_	Charges for Services	Operating Grants and Contributions	Capital Grants and Contributions	Net Revenue (Expense)
Functions/Programs					
Governmental activities: District Court	\$ 9,151,189	\$ 2,592,581	\$ 5,941,961	\$ -	\$ (616,647)
Commissioners'	409,545	· ·	430,103	<u> </u>	20,558
Total governmental activities	\$ 9,560,734	\$ 2,592,581	\$ 6,372,064	\$	(596,089)
	General revenue	<b>7</b> 6.			
	Interest				6,283
	Other				484
	Total general rev	venues		.*	6,767
	Change in net pe	osițion			(589,322)
	Net position - be	ginning of year as	s previously repo	rted	1,887,563
	Correction of oth	er post employme	ent benefits relat	ing to prior years	(4,519,391)
	Net position defi	cit - beginning of	year as restated		(2,631,828)
	Net position defi	cit - end of year			\$ (3,221,150)

Statement 3

#### NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT - JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND BALANCE SHEET GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

June 30, 2013

·	General Fund	Commissioners'	Other Funds	Total Governmental Funds
ASSETS				
Cash, including time deposits	\$ 2,679,775	\$ 54,881	\$ -	\$ 2,734,656
Accounts receivable (net)	33,235	-	-	33,235
Receivable from other governments	311,513	-	14,971	326,484
Due from other funds		<u>13,935</u>	714,981	728,916
Total assets and other debits	\$ 3,024,523	\$ 68,816	\$ 729,952	\$ 3,823,291
LIABILITIES				
Accounts payable	\$ 259,774	\$ 12,352	\$ -	\$ 272,126
Due to other funds	724,773	•	4,143	728,916
Accrued compensated absences	<u>65,184</u>			65,184
Total liabilities	1,049,731	12,352	4,143	1,066,226
FUND BALANCES				
Restricted:		•		
Special Revenue Funds	-	56,464	725,809	782,273
Unassigned	1,974,792	-		1,974,792
Total fund balances	1,974,792	56,464	725,809	2,757,065
Total liabilities and fund balances	\$ 3,024,523	\$ 68,816	\$ 729,952	\$ 3,823,291

# NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT - JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND RECONCILIATION OF TOTAL GOVERNMENTAL FUND BALANCE TO NET POSITION OF GOVERNMENTAL ACTIVITIES

June 30, 2013

Total governmental fund balances		\$ 2,757,065
Amounts reported for governmental activities in t Statement of Net Assets are different because:	he	
Capital assets used in governmental activities a financial resources and therefore are not report		62,086
Receivables that are not available to pay currer expenditures and therefore are not reported in	•	31,222
Some expenditures reported in the funds beneft and are not reported as governmental activities	<u>-</u>	31,271
Long-term liabilities are not due and payable in and therefore are not reported in the funds	the current period	
Accrued compensated absences	<b>\$</b> (651,125)	
Other post retirement benefits	\$ (5,451,669)	(6,102,794)
Net position deficit of governmental activities		<u>\$ (3,221,150)</u>

#### NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT - JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS

Year ended June 30, 2013

				Total
	General	Commissioners'	Other	Governmental
	Fund	Fund	Funds _	Funds
REVENUES		· ·		
Fees and fines	\$ 2,200,447	\$ -	\$ 198,975	\$ 2,399,422
Intergovernmental	5,941,961	430,103	-	6,372,064
Charges for services	188,351	-	-	188,351
Interest	6,283	-	-	6,283
Other	484		-	<u>484</u>
Total revenues	8,337,526	430,103	198,975	8,966,604
EXPENDITURES				
Current operations:				
District Court	8,229,263	-	-	8,229,263
Commissioners'	· · ·	409,306	<b>-</b> ·	409,306
Capital expenditures	18,842			18,842
Total expenditures	8,248,105	409,306	_	8,657,411
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)		,		
Operating transfers in	139,478	-	-	139,478
Operating transfers out			(139,478)	(139,478)
	139,478	-	(139,478)	
EXCESS OF REVENUES AND OTHER SOURCES OVER EXPEN-				
DITURES AND OTHER USES	228,899	20,797	59,497	309,193
Fund balances - beginning of year	1,745,893	35,667	666,312	2,447,872
Fund balances - end of year	\$ 1,974,792	<u>\$ 56,464</u>	\$ 725,809	\$ 2,757,065

# NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT - JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND RECONCILIATION OF THE STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCES OF GOVERNMENTAL FUNDS TO THE STATEMENT OF ACTIVITIES

Year ended June 30, 2013

Net change in fund balances - total governmental funds	\$	309,193
Amounts reported for governmental activities in the statement of activities are different because:		
Governmental funds report capital outlays as expenditures, however, in the statement of activities, the cost of those assets is allocated over their estimated useful lives as		
depreciation expense. This is the amount by which capital outlays exceeded depreciation in the current period.		2,407
Revenues in the statement of activities that do not provide current financial resources are not reported as revenue in the funds.	٠.	4,807
Expenses reported in the statement of activities in the current period and reported as expenditures of governmental funds in the previous period.		18,405
Some expenses reported in the statement of activities do not require the use of current financial resources and therefore are not reported as expenditures in governmental funds.		
Compensated absences \$ 8,144  Net post employment benefit obligation \$ (932,278)		(924,134)
Change in net assets of governmental activities	\$	(589,322)

#### Statement 5

### NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT - JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND STATEMENT OF FIDUCIARY NET ASSETS

June 30, 2013

	Agency Fund
ASSETS	
Due from other governments	<b>\$</b> 23,137
Total assets and other debits	<b>\$</b> 23,137
LIABILITIES	
Due to other governments	<b>\$</b> 23,137
Total liabilities	\$ 23,137

## NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

June 30, 2013

#### A: SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The Nineteenth Judicial District Court Judicial Expense Fund (Judicial Expense Fund) (JEF) was created to account for the operations of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court.

#### Financial reporting entity

The Nineteenth Judicial District Court Expense Fund was established by a legislative act effective June 30, 1969. The act provides for the collection of fees in the form of court costs and fines, and provides for court reporters and such secretarial, clerical, research, administrative or other personnel as are deemed necessary to expedite the business and functions of the court. The Fund may also be used to pay for establishing and maintaining a law library, equipment, supplies and any other costs or expenses related to the proper administration of the court, except for the payment of judges' salaries.

The offices of Commissioner of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court were created by legislative act that provides for the appointment of two commissioners whose duties are assigned by the Chief Judge. These duties include hearing and making recommendations on criminal and civil proceedings arising out of the incarceration of state prisoners. The State of Louisiana appropriates funds for equipment, supplies, and the salaries and related benefits for employees of the Commissioners.

As the governing authority of the consolidated government, the City of Baton Rouge, Parish of East Baton Rouge (City-Parish) is the financial reporting entity for the consolidated government. The financial reporting entity consists of the primary government (City-Parish), and includes all component units of which the City-Parish appoints a voting majority of the units' board; the City-Parish is either able to impose it's will on the unit or a financial benefit or burden relationship exists.

The Judicial Expense Fund is part of the operations of the district court system that is fiscally dependent on the City-Parish. The City-Parish provides directly to the court office space, courtrooms, personal services, and other supplies and services. The nature of the relationship between the Judicial Expense Fund and the district court and the City-Parish is significant. Therefore, the Judicial Expense Fund was determined to be a component unit of the City of Baton Rouge, Parish of East Baton Rouge, the financial reporting entity. The accompanying financial statements present information only on the Judicial Expense Fund maintained by the Nineteenth Judicial District Court and do not present any other information on the District Court, or the City-Parish, the general government services provided by that governmental unit, or on the other governmental units that comprise the financial reporting entity.

#### Basis of presentation

The Judicial Expense Fund's basic financial statements consist of the government-wide statements of the primary government and the fund financial statements. The statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America as applied to governmental units and promulgated by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) Codification of Governmental Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards. The entity-wide financial statements follow the guidance included in GASB Statement No. 62 – Codification of Accounting and Financial Reporting Guidance Contained In Pre-November 30, 1989 FASB and AICPA Pronouncements.

Government-wide financial statements — The statement of net position and statement of activities display information about the reporting government as a whole. They include all funds of the reporting entity, except for the fiduciary fund described below. Governmental activities generally are financed through taxes, intergovernmental revenues, and other nonexchange revenues.

Fund financial statements — The financial transactions of the Judicial Expense Fund are reported in individual funds in the fund financial statements. Each fund is accounted for by a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund equity, revenues, and expenditures.

The Judicial Expense Fund uses the governmental fund type. The focus of the governmental funds' measurement is upon determination of financial position and changes in financial position (sources, uses, and balances of financial resources) rather than upon net income. The funds of the Judicial Expense Fund are described as follows:

General fund - The general fund is the general operating fund and accounts for all activities of the Judicial Expense Fund except those required to be accounted for in another fund.

Special revenue funds - Special revenue funds are used to account for specific revenue sources that are legally restricted to expenditures for specified purposes.

Fiduciary fund (agency fund) — The agency fund accounts for assets held by the Judicial Expense Fund in a purely custodial capacity.

#### Measurement focus and basis of accounting

Measurement focus is a term used to describe "which" transactions are recorded within the various financial statements. Basis of accounting refers to "when" transactions are recorded regardless of the measurement focus applied.

Measurement focus — The government-wide financial statements are presented using the economic resources measurement focus. The accounting objectives of this measurement focus are the determination of operating income, changes in net

assets, and financial position. All assets and liabilities (whether current or noncurrent) associated with their activities are reported. All governmental funds utilize a current financial resources measurement focus in the fund financial statements. Only current financial assets and liabilities are generally included on the balance sheet. Operating statements present sources and uses of available spendable financial resources during a given period. The fund balance is the measure of available spendable financial resources at the end of the period.

Basis of accounting - The government-wide financial statements are presented using the accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when earned and expenses are recognized when incurred or economic assets are used. Revenues, expenses, gains, losses, assets, and liabilities resulting from exchange and exchange-like transactions are recognized when the exchange takes place. In the fund financial statements, governmental funds are presented on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Revenues are recognized when "measurable and available." Measurable means the amount of the transaction can be determined, and available means collectible within the current period or soon enough thereafter to be used to pay liabilities of the current period. Expenditures (including capital outlay) are recorded when the related fund liability is incurred.

#### Financial statement amounts

Cash, including time deposits – "Cash, including time deposits" includes all demand deposits, savings accounts money market accounts and certificates of deposit of the Judicial Expense Fund.

#### Interfund receivables and payables

During the course of operations, transactions occur between individual funds that may result in amounts owed between funds. Those related to goods and services type transactions are classified as "due to and from other funds." Interfund receivables and payables are eliminated in the Statement of Net Position. Details of interfund receivables and payables at year end are found in Note J.

#### Receivables

In the government-wide statements, receivables consist of all revenues earned at year-end and not yet received. Allowances for uncollectible accounts receivable are based upon historical trends and the periodic aging of accounts receivable. Major receivable balances for governmental activities include amounts due from the Clerk of Court and attorneys for transcript charges.

Receivables are included in the fund financial statements if they are both measurable and available. Revenues are recorded when earned only if paid within 60 days since they would be considered both measurable and available.

#### Capital assets

In the government-wide financial statements, capital assets purchased or acquired with an original cost of \$2,000 or more are reported at historical cost. Additions, improvements and other capital outlays that significantly extend the useful life of an asset are capitalized. Other costs incurred for repair and maintenance are expensed as incurred. Depreciation on all assets is computed on the straight-line basis over the following estimated useful lives:

Computer equipment, including software	5 – 10 years
Other office equipment	5 - 10 years
Furniture and fixtures	10 - 20 years
Office renovations	10-20 years

In the fund financial statements, fixed assets are accounted for as capital outlay expenditures of the fund upon acquisition. Capital assets reported herein include only those assets purchased by the Judicial Expense Fund and do not reflect assets of the court obtained from other sources.

#### Revenues

Substantially all government fund revenues are accrued. Those revenues include filing and license fees, fines, and court costs collected by other agencies and remitted to the Judicial Expense Fund in the following month, as well as, amounts due under appropriations and grant contracts, interest and dividend revenue, transcript charges to attorneys, and drug enforcement forfeitures collected by the District Attorney and paid annually or upon request. As a grant recipient, grant receivables and revenue are recognized when the applicable eligibility requirements, including time requirements, are met. Resources transmitted before the eligibility requirements are met are reported as deferred revenue.

#### **Expenditures**

Expenditures are recognized when the related fund liability is incurred.

#### Compensated absences

The annual and sick leave plan adopted by the JEF allows a maximum accumulation of 60 days of vacation leave. Certain employees hired before the current annual and sick leave plan was adopted can accumulate more than the 60 days maximum allowed under the current plan. Upon termination, employees are paid for the unused vacation leave. Sick leave is allowed to accrue and accumulate, however, such sick leave benefits are payable only upon absence from work for medical reasons. Upon termination, accumulated sick leave lapses, and no payments are made for the unused accumulations.

Certain employees of District Court may accrue up to 34.25 days of compensatory time in lieu of overtime payment. The Judicial Expense Fund pays compensatory leave up to the maximum accrual amounts upon termination, resignation, retirement or death. Whenever an employee exceeds the maximum accrual amounts, the excess is paid to the employee during the following month.

GASB Statement No. 16, Accounting for Compensated Absences, requires governments to accrue compensated absences only to the extent it is probable that the employer will compensate the employees for the benefits through paid time off or some other means, such as cash payments at termination or retirement. The Judicial Expense Fund records a liability for 100% of the accrued vacation and compensatory time for each employee up to the respective maximums at the employees' current rate of pay. Additionally, applicable social security and Medicare costs are added to the accrued leave as computed above.

GASB Statement No. 16 requires the accrual for sick leave if it is probable that the employer will compensate the employees for the benefits through cash payments conditioned on the employees' termination or retirement. Since the amount of accumulated sick leave lapses upon termination, no amount has been accrued.

The amounts shown in the accompanying financial statements for accrued compensated absences represent the liability for all employees of the District Court except the judges and the commissioners.

The non-current portion (the amount estimated to be used in subsequent fiscal years) for governmental funds is maintained separately and represents a reconciling item between the fund and government-wide presentations.

#### Deferred compensation

Certain employees of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court participate in the Court's Deferred Compensation Plan or the State of Louisiana Deferred Compensation Plan. These plans were adopted under the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code Section 457. Complete disclosures relating to the Plans are included in the separately issued audit reports for the Plans. The Nineteenth Judicial District Court Plan audit report is available from the plan's administrator, Nationwide Retirement Solutions, and the State of Louisiana Deferred Compensation Plan is available from the State of Louisiana.

#### Interfund transfers

Permanent reallocations of resources between funds of the reporting entity are classified as interfund transfers. All transfers between individual governmental funds have been eliminated on the statement of activities.

#### Accounting estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make certain estimates and assumptions. Those estimates affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Fund Balances

Fund balances of the governmental funds are classified as follows:

Restricted – amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes under enabling legislation.

Unassigned - all other spendable amounts.

When both restricted and unrestricted resources are available for use, it is the Court's policy to use restricted resources first, then unrestricted resources as they are needed.

#### B: CASH AND INVESTMENTS

Cash includes amounts in demand deposits and time deposits. Under state law, the Judicial Expense Fund may deposit funds in demand deposits, interest-bearing demand deposits, money market accounts, or time deposits with state banks organized under Louisiana law and national banks having their principal offices in Louisiana.

At June 30, 2013, the Judicial Expense Fund had cash (book balances) as follows:

Interest bearing demand deposits

**\$** 2.734.656

These deposits are stated at cost, which approximates fair market value. Under state law, these deposits (or the resulting bank balances) must be secured by federal deposit insurance or the pledge of securities owned by the fiscal agent bank. The market value of pledged securities plus the federal deposit insurance must at all times equal the amount on deposit with the fiscal agent bank. These securities are held in the name of the pledging fiscal agent bank in a holding or custodial bank that is mutually acceptable to both parties. Even though the pledged securities are considered uncollateralized (Category 3) under the provisions of GASB Statement 3, Louisiana Revised Statute 39:1229 imposes a statutory requirement on the custodial bank to advertise and sell the pledged securities within 10 days of being notified by the Judicial Expense Fund that the fiscal agent has failed to pay deposited funds upon demand.

Custodial credit risk is the risk that in the event of a bank failure, the Judicial Expense Fund's deposits may not be returned to it. The Judicial Expense Fund does not have a deposit policy for custodial credit risk. As of June 30, 2013, \$2,577,573 of the Judicial Expense Fund's bank balance of \$2,827,573 was exposed to custodial credit risk as follows:

Uninsured and collateral held by fiscal agent bank's trust department not in the Judicial Expense Fund's name

\$<u>2,577,573</u>

#### C: ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Accounts receivable of the governmental activities consists mostly of amounts due from the Clerk of Court and attorneys for transcript charges. Detail of the receivables at June 30, 2013 is as follows:

Transcripts	\$	43,066
Less allowance for doubtful accounts		9,532
	_	33,534
Other receivables		30,923
Accounts receivable (net)	\$_	64,457

The allowance for doubtful accounts was established based on historical trends and other information. At June 30, 2013, \$24,512 of the transcripts receivable were past due ninety days or more.

#### D: INTERGOVERNMENTAL RECEIVABLES / (PAYABLES)

Intergovernmental receivables due at June 30, 2013 are as follows:

General fund		
City of Baton Rouge / Parish of EBR	\$	27,970
East Baton Rouge Parish Sheriff		164,269
East Baton Rouge Clerk of Court		8,820
Louisiana Department of Public Safety		1,887
Louisiana Supreme Court		106,067
Nineteenth Judicial Dist. Court Building Comm.		2,500
·	\$	311,513
Indigent transcript fund		
East Baton Rouge Parish Sheriff	\$_	14,971
<u> </u>	\$ <u></u>	14,971

#### E: CAPITAL ASSETS

Capital asset activity for the year ended June 30, 2013, is as follows:

		Balance June 30,						Balance June 30,
		2012		Additions		Deletions		2013
Computer equipment,	-		•		•			
including software	\$	225,200	\$	15,328	\$	-	\$	240,528
Other office equipment		83,185		3,514		-		86,699
Furniture and fixtures		27,983		-		-		27,983
Office renovations		3,964			_			3,964
Totals at historical cost	•	340,332	_	18,842	_	-	•	359,174
Less accumulated depreciat	ion						_	
Computer equipment,								
including software		210,173		7,337		-		217,510
Other office equipment		49,114		7,909		-		57,023
Furniture and fixtures		21,300		792				22,092
Office renovations	·	66		397	_			463_
Totals at historical cost	ľ	280,653	_	16,435	_		_	297,088
Capital assets, net	\$	59,679	_\$ _	2,407	\$		\$_	62,086

Depreciation expense was charged to governmental activities as follows:

District Court	\$ 16,222
Commissioners'	 213
	\$ 16,435

#### F: LONG-TERM DEBT

As of June 30, 2013, the governmental long-term debt consisted of the following:

Accrued compensated absences:

Current portion	\$	65,184
Non-current portion		651,125
Total governmental activity debt	<b>\$</b>	716,309

The following is a summary of changes in long-term debt for the year ended June 30, 2013:

#### F: LONG-TERM DEBT (Continued)

		Balance						Balance	Amounts
		June 30,						June 30,	Due within
		2012	_	<b>Additions</b>	_	<b>Deductions</b>		2013	One Year
Accrued Compensated			•		_		-		
Absences	\$_	720,828	\$		\$	4,519	\$_	716,309	\$ 65,184

#### G: SALARY EXPENDITURES

The Judicial Expense Fund administers the payroll for all District Court employees excluding the judges and the commissioners. The Parish of East Baton Rouge reimburses the fund for those salaries disbursed by the fund but appropriated in the City-Parish budget. Also, the Clerk of Court reimburses the Fund for a portion of the salary of an employee who works for both the Nineteenth Judicial District Court and the East Baton Rouge Parish Clerk of Court.

#### H: PENSION PLANS

#### Louisiana State Employees Retirement System

Plan Description. The employees of the Commissioners' offices, whose salaries are paid from an appropriation from the State of Louisiana, court reporters hired after June 30, 2003 and all other court employees hired after January 1, 2009 are members of the Louisiana State Employees Retirement System ("System"), a public employee retirement system (PERS). The System is a statewide public retirement system for the benefit of state employees, which is administered and controlled by a separate Board of Trustees. The System provides retirement and disability benefits, annual cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. The System was established and provided for within Title 11 Chapter 401 of the Louisiana Revised Statutes (LRS). The Louisiana State Employees Retirement System issues a publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the System. That report may be obtained by writing to Louisiana State Employees Retirement System, P.O. Box 44213, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70804-4213, or by calling 1-800-256-3000.

Funding Policy. Plan members are required by state statute to contribute 7.5%, 8% for employees hired after July 1, 2006, of gross salary to which District Court added a 29.1% and 25.6% contribution as an employer's match for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The contribution requirements of plan members and the JEF are established and may be amended by the System's Board of Trustees. The JEF and Commissioners' offices statutory and actual contributions for the years ending June 30, 2013, 2012, and 2011 were \$632,066, \$475,181, and \$343,679, respectively. The JEF had no net pension obligation with respect to system at June 30, 2013.

#### H: PENSION PLANS (Continued)

#### Louisiana Clerks of Court Retirement and Relief Fund

Plan Description. Other court employees, whose salaries are expenditures of the JEF, are members of the Louisiana Clerks of Court Retirement and Relief Fund (Fund), a multiple-employer, cost sharing public employee retirement system, controlled and administered by a separate Board of Trustees. The Fund provides retirement and disability benefits, cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. The Fund was established and provided for within Title 11 Chapter 1502 of the LRS. The System issues an annual publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the System. That report may be obtained by writing to the Louisiana Clerks of Court Retirement and Relief Fund, 11745 Bricksome Avenue, Suite B1, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70816, or by calling 225-293-1162.

Funding Policy. Plan members are required by state statute to contribute 8.25% of their annual covered salary and the JEF is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate. The JEF contributed a rate of 17.25% of annual covered payroll for the years ended June 30, 2013 and 2012. Contributions to the System also include one-fourth of one percent of the taxes shown to be collectible by the tax rolls of each parish. The contribution requirements of plan members and the JEF are established and may be amended by state statute. As provided by Louisiana Revised Statute 11:103, the employer contributions are determined by actuarial valuation and are subject to change each year based on the results of the valuation for the prior fiscal year. The JEF's contributions to the System for the years ending June 30, 2013, 2012, and 2011 were \$477,043, \$521,268, and \$577,581, respectively, which were equal to the required contributions for each year.

#### Louisiana School Employees' Retirement System

Plan Description. One court employee, whose salary is an expenditure of the JEF, is a member of the Louisiana School Employees' Retirement System (Fund), a multiple-employer, cost sharing public employee retirement system, controlled and administered by a separate Board of Trustees. The Fund provides retirement and disability benefits, cost-of-living adjustments, and death benefits to plan members and beneficiaries. The Fund was established and provided for within Title 11 Chapter 1001 of the LRS. The System issues an annual publicly available financial report that includes financial statements and required supplementary information for the System. That report may be obtained by writing to the Louisiana School Employees' Retirement System, 8660 United Plaza Blvd., Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70809, or by calling 225-925-6484.

Funding Policy. Plan members are required by state statute to contribute 7.50% of their annual covered salary and the JEF is required to contribute at an actuarially determined rate. The Court contributed a rate of 30.80% of annual covered payroll for the year ended June 30, 2013. The contribution requirements of plan members and the JEF are established and may be amended by state statute. As provided by Louisiana Revised Statute 11:1001, the employer contributions are determined by actuarial valuation and are subject to change each year based on the results of the valuation for the prior fiscal year. The JEF's contribution to the System for the years ending June 30, 2013 was \$10,933, which was equal to the required contribution for the year.

#### 1: OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS

The JEF is a component unit of the City-Parish and its employees participate in the City-Parish health benefits program. The City-Parish's other post employment benefits plan (OPEB) is a single-employer defined benefit "substantive plan" as understood by past practices of the employer and its employees. Although no written plan or trust currently exists or is sanctioned by law, the OPEB plan is reported based on communications to plan members.

Retirees may continue their coverage under the City-Parish's health plans in accordance with Parish Resolution 10179 adopted by the Parish Council on December 13, 1972 and amended by Metropolitan Council Resolution 42912 adopted November 12, 2003. Based on current practices, upon retirement, a totally vested employee may continue his coverage paying the same premiums and receiving the same benefits as active employees. If the participant meets the criteria for retirement, the government pays the following percentages of scheduled premiums:

Years of Service	Vested Percentage
Fewer than 10	25%
10 – 15 years	50%
15 - 20 years	75%
Over 20 years	100%

#### Funding policy

The contribution requirements of the employees/retirees and the City-Parish and participating City-Parish employers are established in the annual City-Parish operating budget and may be amended in subsequent years. During 2012, the health/dental plan was funded with employees and retirees contributing from 25% to 48% of the health and dental premium and the City-Parish contributing from 52% to 75% of the health and dental premiums, dependent upon the number of family members covered. Effective January 1, 2003, the employer portion of pay-as-you-go OPEB insurance premiums are allocated over all employers and funds that participate in the OPEB plan.

#### Annual OPEB Cost and Net OPEB Obligation

The City-Parish's annual OPEB cost (expense) was calculated based on the annual required contribution of the employer (ARC), an amount actuarially determined in accordance with the parameters of Section P50 of the GASB Codification of Government Accounting and Financial Reporting Standards. The ARC represents a level of funding that, if paid on an ongoing basis, is projected to cover normal costs each year and amortize any unfunded actuarial liabilities over the actuarial amortization period. The ARC was calculated as part of the January 1, 2011, biannual actuarial valuation performed by an outside actuary consultant. These components of OPEB were allocated to the JEF based on its proportionate share of total contributions made in the previous year.

The following table shows the components of the JEF's annual OPEB cost for the year end December 31, 2012 and the amount actually contributed to the plan during the year:

#### I: OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (Continued)

Annual required contribution (ARC)	\$	1,289,654
Interest on net OPEB obligation		172,736
Adjustment to ARC		(171,617)
Annual OPEB cost (expense)	_	1,290,773
Less: Contributions made		(358,495)
Increase in net OPEB obligation	_	932,278
Net OPEB obligation-beginning of year	_	4,519,391
Net OPEB obligation-end of year	\$ _	5,451,669

#### Trend Information for OPEB Plan:

Fiscal Year End	Annual OPEB Cost	Percentage of Annual OPEB Cost Contributed	Net OPEB Obligation
12/31/10	1,138,313	32.9%	3,606,198
12/31/11	1,287,392	29.1%	4,519,391
12/31/12	1,290,773	27.8%	5,451,699

#### Funding status and funding progress

As of January 1, 2011, the most recent actuarial valuation date, the plan was zero percent funded. The JEF's allocated portion of the actuarial accrued liability for benefits was approximately \$5,451,699 with no valued assets, resulting in an unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) of the same amount. Actuarial valuations of an ongoing plan involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of occurrence of events far into the future. Examples include assumptions about future employment, mortality, and the healthcare cost trend. Amounts determined regarding the funded status of the plan and the annual required contributions of the employer are subject to continual revision and actual results are compared with past expectations and new estimates are made about the future. The schedule of funding progress, presented as required supplementary information following the notes to the financial statements, presents multi-year trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liabilities for benefits.

#### I: OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (Continued)

Funding progress for the most recent valuation date is as follows:

Actuarial Valuation Date	Ji	anuary 1, 2012
Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL)	\$	19,660,545
Actuarial Value of Plan Assets		<u> </u>
Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL)	\$	19,660,545
Funded Ration (Actuarial Value of Assets/AAL)		0%
Covered Payroll (active plan members)	\$	3,062,645
UAAL as a percentage of covered payroll		642%

#### Actuarial methods and assumptions

Projections of benefits for financial reporting purposes are based on the substantive plan (the plan as understood by the employer and plan members) and include the types of benefits provided at the time of each valuation and the historical pattern of sharing of benefit costs between the employer and the plan members. The actuarial methods and assumptions used include techniques that are designed to reduce the effects of short-term volatility in actuarial accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, consistent with the long-term perspective of the calculations.

The unit credit actuarial cost method was used for the January 1, 2011, actuarial valuation. Because the government currently finances OPEB using a pay-as-you-go approach, the discount rate is based on the historical (and expected investment that are expected to be used in financing the payment of benefits). The actuarial assumptions included a four percent investment rate of return, compounded annually.

Life expectancies were based on the Sex Distinct 2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table set projected to 2012 using Scale AA. Turnover rates were based on the government's historical data and modified based on years of employment. Probabilities of disability, retirement rates, and withdrawal rates are based on the government's historical data using probabilities for the government's retirement systems.

Both historical retiree claim costs and year 2011 retiree health insurance premiums were used as the basis for calculation of the present value of total benefits to be paid. The plan assumes a medical inflation rate of 7.0% beginning in 2011, decreasing to a rate of 4.3% in 2083. The actuarial valuation also assumes that (1) seventy-five to eight-five percent of employees are assumed to be married at retirement; (2) female spouses are assumed to be three years younger than males; (3) eighty percent of employees married at retirement will elect spouse's coverage; (4) zero percent of employees will have dependent children at retirement; (5) one hundred percent of employees will elect Medicare coverage when they are first eligible; and (6) ten percent of participants hired prior to April 1, 1986, are assumed to be ineligible for Medicare upon reaching age sixty-five. However, all spouses of retirees are assumed to be Medicare eligible upon reaching age sixty-five.

#### I: OTHER POSTEMPLOYMENT BENEFITS (Continued)

The amortization method for the plan is a level percentage of payroll with a thirty year open amortization. The expected long-term payroll growth rate was estimated at 3.0 percent, compounded annually. The remaining amortization period at January 1, 2012, was thirty years.

#### Life insurance benefits

In accordance with City Resolution 5942 and Parish Resolution 12478 adopted by the respective councils on April 14, 1976, all employees who retire after May 1, 1976 have \$3,000 of term life insurance coverage. The cost of this insurance is paid by the City-Parish through an actuarially determined monthly assessment of 70 cents per active employee. The premium is paid into an Insurance Continuance Fund Account.

The Judicial Expense Fund does not recognize expenditures for these life insurance benefits since they are provided by the City-Parish.

#### Commissioners' Office Employees

In addition to the pension benefits described in Note H, the State of Louisiana provides postretirement health care benefits. LRS 42:821 through 42:880 establishes the self-insured and selffunded state employees group health care and life insurance program and authorizes the commissioners' office to provide certain continuing health care and life insurance benefits for its retired employees. Substantially all of the commissioners' employees become eligible for those benefits if they reach normal retirement age while working for the Commissioners' office. Monthly premiums are paid jointly by the employees and the employer (from the Commissioners' office appropriation) for active employees' benefits regardless of whether benefits are provided by Group Benefits or one of the HMO's authorized by Group Benefits.

The Judicial Expense Fund does not recognize expenditures for these benefits since they will be included in the annual appropriation from the State of Louisiana.

#### J: INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS

The following is a summary of amounts due from and due to other funds at June 30, 2013:

	Due to:							
		Commis- sioners' Fund		Indigent Transcript Fund		Drug Treatment Fund		Total
Due from:					•			
General Fund	\$	9,792	\$	702,718	\$	12,263	\$	724,773
Indigent Transcript Fund		4,143						4,143
:	\$ [	13,935	\$	702,718	_ _\$	12,263	\$_	728,916

#### J: INTERFUND TRANSACTIONS (Continued)

Outstanding balances between funds reported as "due to/due from other funds" includes charges by one fund to another for services and/or goods outstanding at year end and are expected to be settled within the next year.

The operating transfers in or out during the year ended June 30, 2013 are as follows:

	T	Transfers to: General Fund	
Transfers from:			
Indigent transcript fund	\$	139,478	

Transfers are substantially for the purposes of subsidizing operating functions. Court reporters are paid from the general fund, and the indigent transcript fund reimburses the general fund whenever the transcript is categorized as "indigent." Whenever expenditures for the Commissioners' offices exceed the state appropriation, the general fund covers the excess.

#### K: CONCENTRATIONS OF CREDIT RISK

Intergovernmental receivables represent amounts due from other East Baton Rouge Parish governmental agencies and the State of Louisiana. The majority of the other receivables are due from Louisiana attorneys who practice before the court. Such receivables are not collateralized. Payment of these amounts is partly dependent upon the economic and financial conditions within East Baton Rouge Parish and the State of Louisiana.

Included in intergovernmental revenue is an appropriation from the East Baton Rouge City—Parish for salaries and related employment taxes and benefits in the amount of \$5,402,005. Intergovernmental revenue includes funding from the Clerk of Court of East Baton Rouge Parish for salaries and related employment taxes and benefits in the amount of \$22,141 and also \$181,827 in salaries and related employment taxes and benefits from the Louisiana State Supreme Court for the Judicial Expense Fund and \$430,103 in salaries and related employment taxes and benefits from the Louisiana Supreme Court for the Commissioners' Fund.

#### L: SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Subsequent events were evaluated through November 8, 2013, which is the date the financial statements were available to be issued.

#### M: CONTINGENCIES

The Nineteenth Judicial District Court Drug Lab ("Drug Lab") is named as a defendant in a lawsuit seeking unspecified damages related to a failed drug test. The Drug Lab intends to

#### M: CONTINGENCIES (Continued)

vigorously defend this lawsuit and claim but JEF management is unable to evaluate the outcome of this matter or estimate the amount of any liability that may result from an unfavorable resolution.

#### N: RESTATEMENT

Net position as of July 1, 2012 has been restated and decreased by \$4,519,391 to reflect the unrecorded amount of other post employment benefits (OPEB) allocated by the City-Parish to the JEF.

REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

#### NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT - JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE -GENERAL FUND, INDIGENT TRANSCRIPT FUND AND DRUG TREATMENT FUND

Year ended June 30, 2013

	Budgeted	Amounts	Actual Amounts	Variance with Final Budget -
			(Budgetary	Positive
	Original	Final	Basis)	(Negative)
Budgetary fund balance - July 1, 2012	<u>\$ 2,143,395</u>	\$ 2,412,203	<b>\$</b> 2,412,205	<u>\$</u> 2
Resources (inflows):				
Fees and fines:				
Clerk of Court - civil and probate filing				
fees	147,500	142,700	159,275	16,575
East Baton Rouge Parish Sheriff:				
Court costs	223,000	215,750	219,070	3,320
Court defrayment costs	138,500	65,600	64,495	(1,105)
Bail bond forfeitures	98,000	171,100	153,012	(18,088)
Fines	930,800	866,000	875,628	9,628
Indigent transcript fees	203,000	196,000	199,716	3,716
Drug lab fees	158,000	179,700	186,019	6,319
East Baton Rouge District Attorney:			•	•
Drug enforcement forfeitures	44,000	65,000	91,684	26,684
Bail bond license fees	400,000	413,000	422,775	9,775
Louisiana Department of Public Safety -				·
license fees	25,200	19,300	26,100	6,800
Intergovernmental:			,	•
Louisiana Supreme Court:				
Drug court appropriation	540,000	525,000	539,956	14,956
City of B.R./Parish of E.B.R.:			•	•
Compensation and Benefits	-	5,444,020	5,402,005	(42,015)
Charges for services:				, , ,
Transcript charges to attorneys	166,000	166,700	161,393	(5,307)
Program fees	11,500	24,200	26,958	2,758
Interest	4,850	4,400	6,283	1,883
Other	3,000	1,580	2,132	552
American should be a second	3,093,350	8,500,050	8,536,501	36,451
Amount avalaible for appropriation	5,236,745	10,912,253	10,948,706	<u>36,453</u>

	Budgeted	Amounts	Actual Amounts	Variance with Final Budget -
		Final - as	(Budgetary	<b>Positive</b>
	Original	_Restated_	Basis)	(Negative)
Charges to appropriations (outflows):				
District Court				
Personal services:				
Salaries, payroll taxes and benefits	1,700,500	7,324,400	7,098,266	226,134
Supplies:				
Office supplies	88,000	118,400	102,757	15,643
Computer supplies	43,500	31,500	22,349	9,151
Drug screen lab supplies/services	126,100	104,800	102,788	2,012
Dues and subscriptions	116,700	103,300	111,869	(8,569)
Other	2,500	1,600	5,613	(4,013)
Contractual services:				
Legal and other professional fees	55,000	51,700	36,609	15,091
Drug treatment services	540,000	529,200	544,399	(15,199)
Surety bonds/group insurance	10,400	9,500	20,437	(10,937)
Other contractual services	39,400	19,500	15,573	3,927
Travel, meetings and conferences	93,500	87,500	79,432	8,068
Equipment repair and maintenance	50,000	52,586	35,708	16,878
Equipment	101,300	53,252	53,463	(211)
Capital outlay	16,000	<u>13,262</u>	18,842	(5,580)
Total charges to appropriations	2,982,900	8,500,500	8,248,105	252,395
Budgetary fund balance - June 30, 2013	\$ 2,253,845	<b>\$ 2,411,753</b>	\$ 2,700,601	<u>\$ 288,848</u>

#### **COMPENSATION FUND FOR JURORS IN CRIMINAL CASES**

Budgetary fund balance - July 1, 2012 Resources (inflows):	\$ -	\$	-	\$ -	\$ -
Criminal juror compensation Charges to appropriations (outflows):	-		-	-	•
Criminal juror compensation  Budgetary fund balance - June 30, 2013	\$ <del>-</del>	<b>\$</b>	<del>-</del>	\$ <del>-</del>	\$ -

#### NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT - JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND BUDGETARY COMPARISON SCHEDULE - COMMISSIONERS' FUND

Year ended June 30, 2013

	Budgeted Original	Amounts Final	Actual Amounts (Budgetary Basis)	Variance with Final Budget - Positive (Negative)	
Budgetary fund balance (deficit) -	•		¢ 25.667	e 35.007	
July 1, 2012	<u> </u>	<u>\$</u>	\$ 35,667	\$ 35,667	
Resources (inflows):			•		
Intergovernmental:	420 400	400 400	420 402		
State of Louisiana	430,103	430,103	430,103		
Amounts available for appropriation	430,103	430,103	430,103	-	
Charges to appropriations (outflows):					
Commissioners':	·				
Personal services:	•				
Salaries	305,008	305,008	268,934	36,074	
Group benefits:		000,000			
Payroll taxes	1,690	1,690	1,915	(225)	
Group insurance	30,105	30,105	40,203	(10,098)	
Retirement	72,062	72,062	72,617	(555)	
Supplies:	,00_	72,002	,=,017	(000)	
Office supplies	4.497	4,497	5,493	(996)	
Computer supplies	1,261	1,261	2,499	(1,238)	
Dues and subscriptions	570	570	11,052	(10,482)	
Other	155	155	11,002	155	
Contractual services:	155	100	-		
Legal and other professional fees	1,196	1,196	1,305	(109)	
Other contractual services	2,250	2,250	281	1,969	
Parking fees	1,648	1,648	-	1,648	
Travel, meetings and conferences	1,732	1,732	3.502	(1,770)	
Equipment repair and maintenance	1,372	1,372	68	1,304	
Telephone	1,141	1,141	1,437	(296)	
Capital outlay	5,416	5,416		5,416	
Total charges to appropriations	430,103	430,103	409,306	20,797	
Budgetary fund balance - June 30, 2013	<u>\$</u>	\$	\$ 56,464	\$ 56,464	

See accompanying notes to budgetary comparison schedules.

## NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND NOTES TO REQUIRED SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION ON BUDGETARY ACCOUNTING AND CONTROL

June 30, 2013

#### Budgetary accounting and control

#### **Budget law**

The Judicial Expense Fund prepares the annual combined operating budget for the general fund, the indigent transcript fund, and the drug treatment fund under the provisions of the Louisiana Municipal Budget Act. In accordance with those provisions, the following procedures are used in adopting the annual budget for these funds:

- (1) An operating budget is prepared at least fifteen days prior to the commencement of the budgetary fiscal year. The operating budget includes proposed expenditures and the means of financing them for the upcoming year.
- (2) The budget is available for public inspection at least fifteen days prior to the beginning of the fiscal year.
- (3) The budget is adopted after consideration of public comment, if any, and authorized for implementation on the first day of the fiscal year.
- (4) The budgets are prepared on a detailed line item basis. Revenues are budgeted by source. Expenditures are budgeted by character (personal services, group benefits, supplies, contractual services and capital outlay). Total expenditures constitute the legal level of control. Expenditures may not exceed the sum of appropriations plus the unreserved prior year fund balance. The budget may be revised during the year as estimates regarding revenues and expenditures change.
- (5) Appropriations lapse at the end of each fiscal year.

With regard to the Commissioners' fund, which is an appropriation of the Louisiana Supreme Court for the operation of the commissioners' offices, the Judicial Budgetary Control Board governs the budget. R.S. 39:4B exempts the judiciary of the state from the State Division of Administration budgetary control, and since budgetary reporting for the Commissioners' Fund is the responsibility of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board, the Nineteenth Judicial District Court is of the opinion that the Commissioners' Fund is also not subject to the Local Government Budget Act (R.S. 39:1301-14), in particular, those requirements relating to public hearings and advertisement. Accordingly, the Commissioners' Fund budget to actual presentation will utilize the budget data formulated under the auspices of the Judicial Budgetary Control Board.

These procedures are followed in establishing the budgetary data reflected in the financial statements with regard to the special revenue fund:

- (1) An operating budget is prepared for the commissioners' fund prior to the commencement of the budgetary fiscal year and submitted to the Judicial Budgetary Control Board. The operating budget includes proposed expenditures for the upcoming year.
- (2) All funds remaining unexpended or unencumbered at year end shall be returned to the state general fund.
- (3) Any surpluses occurring in the appropriation may be transferred from one line item to another during the fiscal year.

#### **Budgetary accounting**

The General Fund, Indigent Transcript Fund and the Commissioners' Fund budgets are prepared and presented using the modified accrual basis of accounting.

# NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND OTHER POST EMPLOYMENT BENEFITS PLAN RETIREE HEALTH AND DENTAL INSURANCE PROGRAMS

YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012

#### **SCHEDULE OF FUNDING PROGRESS**

Year Ended	Actuarial Valuation Date	(a) Actuarial Value of Assets	(b) Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) Entry Age	(b-a) Unfunded AAL (UAAL)	(a/b) Funded Ratio	 ( c ) Covered Payroll	((b-a)/c) UAAL as a Percentage of Covered
12/31/12	01/01/11	\$ -	\$ 19,660,545	\$ 19,660,545	0.00%	\$ 3,062,645	642%
12/31/11	01/01/11	•	19,609,046	19,609,046	0.00%	3,054,623	642%
12/31/10	01/01/09	•	16,402,348	16,402,348	0.00%	3,024,062	542%
12/31/09	01/01/09	-	17,096,518	17,096,518	0.00%	3,152,045	542%
12/31/08	01/01/08	-	17,408,724	17,408,724	0.00%	3,078,372	566%

#### SCHEDULE OF EMPLOYER CONTRIBUTIONS

Year Ended	Annual 'ear Ended OPEB cost						Net OPEB Obligation	
12/31/12 \$	1,290,773	\$	358,495	27.77%	\$	932,278	\$ 5,451,669	
12/31/11	1,287,392	•	374,198	29.07%	·	913,194	4,519,391	
12/31/10	1,138,313		374,895	32.93%		763,418	3,606,198	
12/31/09	1,186,488		268,668	22.64%		917,820	2,845,196	
12/31/08	1,232,377		251,876	20.44%		980,501	1,927,377	

Michael A. Tham, CPA Robert L. Stamey, CPA Susan S. Tham, CPA Kimberty G. Sanders, CPA, MBA



Member of the Private Companies Practice Section of the American Institute of CPAs

## INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Honorable Judges of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United America and the standards applicable to the financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, each major fund, and the aggregate remaining fund information of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court - Judicial Expense Fund, a component unit of the City of Baton Rouge, Parish of East Baton Rouge, Louisiana, as of and for the year ended June 30, 2013, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the Nineteenth Judicial District Court - Judicial Expense Fund's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated November 8, 2013.

#### Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the Nineteenth Judicial District Court - Judicial Expense Fund's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court – Judicial Expense Fund's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court – Judicial Expense Fund's internal control.

A deficiency in internal control exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned function, to prevent or detect and correct misstatements on a timely basis. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A significant deficiency is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not necessarily identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses, or significant deficiencies. Given these limitations, during our audit we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

**Compliance and Other Matters** 

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the Nineteenth Judicial District Court - Judicial Expense Fund's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under Government Auditing Standards.

**Purpose of this Report** 

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control or on compliance. The report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering the entity's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose. Under Louisiana R.S. 24:513, this report is distributed by the Legislative Auditor as a public document.

hapignette 661

November 8, 2013

### NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT – JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND RESPONSES

Year Ended June 30, 2013

#### A: SUMMARY OF AUDIT RESULTS

- 1. The auditor expresses an unqualified opinion on the financial statements of Nineteenth Judicial District Court Judicial Expense Fund.
- 2. No significant deficiencies related to the audit of the financial statements of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court Judicial Expense Fund are reported in the "Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting and Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance with Government Auditing Standards."
- 3. No instances of noncompliance material to the financial statements of the Nineteenth Judicial District Court Judicial Expense Fund were disclosed during the audit.
- 4. Not applicable.
- 5. Not applicable.
- 6. Not applicable.
- 7. Not applicable.
- 8. Not applicable.
- 9. Not applicable.
- 10. No management letter was issued in conjunction with this engagement.

#### B. FINDINGS - FINANCIAL STATEMENT AUDIT

There were no findings that are required to be reported in this section of the report.

## NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT – JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND SCHEDULE OF CORRECTIVE ACTION PLAN

Year Ended June 30, 2013

None required

# NINETEENTH JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT – JUDICIAL EXPENSE FUND SCHEDULE OF CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN ON PRIOR YEAR FINDINGS

Year Ended June 30, 2013

None required